

To: Mayor and City Council

From: Janet Stout, Administrative Assistant

- Subject: Report of Planning Commission Action
- Date: September 25, 2018
- RE: <u>PCN18-0039/STM18-0008</u> Consideration and possible recommendation of approval of a Tentative Map for a 32-unit multi-family townhome project on a site 3.1 acres in size in the NUD (New Urban District-Spanish Springs Town Centre Planned Development) located south of Los Altos Parkway and east of Galleria Parkway, Sparks, NV. (For Possible Action)

Please see the attached excerpt from the September 6, 2018 Planning Commission meeting transcript.

COMMISSIONER PETERSEN: Commissioner Petersen. 1 2 I'll second it. VICE CHAIR CAREY: Okay. We have a motion by 3 Commissioner Brock, a second by Commissioner Petersen. 4 Any, any discussion or comments on the motion? 5 Seeing none, I will call the vote. All those 6 in favor of the motion, please say "aye." 7 (Commission members said "aye.") 8 VICE CHAIR CAREY: Opposed, "nay." 9 The ayes have it. The motion is carried. 10 We'll move on to item number 14 on the agenda. 11 That's (PCN18-0039). That's consideration and possible 12 13 recommendation of approval of a tentative map for a 32-unit multi-family townhome project. 14 MR. CRITTENDEN: Vice Chairman Carey, members 15 of the Planning Commission, Ian Crittenden, Senior 16 Planner. 17 I don't know if you remember, minutes ago, when 18 we approved the -- this body approved the conditional 19 20 use permit. But this is the tentative map for that same 21 project. As it is a townhome project, they do need to do the tentative and final map processes. 2.2 So all streets within this development will be 23 privately owned and maintained by the HOA. 24 The landscaping will be maintained by either the HOA or the 25

LMA in regards to landscaping along Galleria Parkway. 1 Sidewalk infrastructure is being provided 2 throughout the interior of the project, as well as 3 connecting to the exterior along Galleria Parkway, 4 extending that pedestrian structure on Galleria Parkway. 5 Tentative maps, as you just heard, have 12 6 7 findings. So we'll try to go through those in detail but as quickly as is reasonable. 8 Finding T1 requires conformance with zoning and 9 the Comprehensive Plan. The townhomes are permitted in 10 the Spanish Springs Town Centre handbook, subject to a 11 conditional use permit, which this body just heard. 12 13 This proposal supports Policy C4, as sidewalks are provided; Policy CF1, as City services are available 14 at this location; and Policy H2, as this provides 15 another housing type in the area. 16 Finding T2 requires conformity with the City's 17 streets master plan. The proposed townhome subdivision 18 will access via existing roads within the City's streets 19 20 master plan on private streets. And so they conform 21 with that street master plan. Finding T2 requires that the outside agencies 22 that provide services related to public health be 23 contacted for input. That would include water and so 24 25 forth. Those agencies were contacted. They do have

> CITY OF SPARKS PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING Thursday, September 6, 2018

55

1	requirements that they put into their comment letters.
2	Those have been incorporated into the findings,
3	basically requiring that adequate water be, water rights
4	be dedicated prior to the final map for this project.
5	Well, that's not exactly the right one, because
б	the next one, T4, is specifically about those water
7	rights. And Condition 5 specifically addresses that and
8	that they will be, have to be, have to be dedicated
9	prior to the issuance of the or prior to the approval
10	of the final map.
11	Finding T5 requires access to sewer. As we
12	discussed previously, the proposed subdivision will
13	generate 33,600 gallons of sewer per day. And the
14	applicant will have to provide evidence that they have
15	adequate capacity prior to the final map. But staff
16	does believe that this will be they will be able to
17	make that, that evidence. But they still have to
18	provide it to us.
19	Finding T6 requires that other public agencies
20	that provide public services, such as schools, police
21	and transportation and so forth, be contacted. Washoe
22	County School informed the City that the proposed
23	development would generate 1 elementary school student
24	and 1 high school student. And so we're not
25	particularly concerned about that.

The project will not generate enough traffic to 1 require off-site improvements. 2 And fire and police protection will be provided 3 by the City of Sparks. 4 Finding T7 requires that impacts on adjacent 5 streets be addressed. As I mentioned previously, this 6 7 project is not anticipated to generate enough impact, enough trips to impact adjacent public infrastructure. 8 Finding T8 requires that the characteristics of 9 the soil and land be considered. This site is not in a 10 floodplain. It is very flat, besides the big pile of 11 dirt, which doesn't really count as topography. 12 And 13 then, finally, a final geotechnical report will be required prior to the issuance of building permits. 14 Finding T9 requires that comments from entities 15 reviewing the proposal be considered. We did receive 16 They are attached to the staff report and 17 comments. have been incorporated into the conditions of approval 18 as appropriate. 19 20 Finding T10 requires that fire protection needs 21 be, for the project be considered. This site will be served by the City of Sparks for fire protection and is 2.2 within the 6-minute response time. 23 Finding T11 requires that other identified 24 impacts be considered. Landscaping and architecture 25

> CITY OF SPARKS PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING Thursday, September 6, 2018

57

1	were those that staff identified. Those will be
2	addressed primarily through the MF2 design standards for
3	both architecture and landscaping.
4	And then, finally, Finding T12 requires that
5	proper public notice be given, tentative maps. That is
б	accomplished through the posting of the agenda for both
7	Planning Commission and City Council and then hearing
8	them here.
9	Staff is recommending approval of this 32-odd
10	townhome subdivision.
11	That's the end of my presentation. I'd be
12	happy to answer any questions if you have any.
13	VICE CHAIR CAREY: Thanks, Ian.
14	Any questions for staff?
15	Commissioner Shabazz.
16	COMMISSIONER SHABAZZ: Seeing as though I did
17	jump on the last time, same question, or do I have to
18	wait for the geotechnical report regarding storm
19	drainage?
20	MR. CRITTENDEN: No, storm drainage would be
21	addressed prior to that. As part of their final map
22	documents, they'll have to show how they're going to
23	handle that water. There's actually stormwater
24	infrastructure already in this area, and they'll have to
25	tie into that and show that they are meeting the flows

as they're required per the handbook and the existing 1 stormwater infrastructure that's in there. So it will 2 have to be addressed. 3 COMMISSIONER SHABAZZ: And can you point out 4 the map where that storm infrastructure may be already? 5 MR. CRITTENDEN: There is -- it's off the map 6 7 actually. There's a clarifying basin. Is that the right terminology? 8 There is a place where stormwater goes 9 No. toward on the east side of this, as part of that 10 They'll have to tie into that. 11 stormwater. There's actually, I believe, the --12 13 COMMISSIONER SHABAZZ: So if I understand you 14 correctly, it would be east of it. 15 MR. CRITTENDEN: Yes. COMMISSIONER SHABAZZ: So it would go through 16 the proposed storage area; is that correct? 17 MR. CRITTENDEN: Yes. It'll travel to the 18 The project that's between this proposal and the 19 east. 20 previous, I believe they actually run along this south 21 side. They had their stormwater running that direction. And so they'll have to kind of work with that plan and 2.2 make sure that all of that stormwater that's within 23 this -- this series of projects within Spanish Springs 24 Town Centre is all supposed to tie into that system, and 25

they'll have to show how they can do that prior to the 1 issuance of the a final map. 2 COMMISSIONER SHABAZZ: Thank you. 3 MR. CRITTENDEN: Approval of a final map. 4 UNIDENTIFIED MAN: We can look at the map, if 5 you want to show it. 6 MS. STACIE HUGGINS: This is where it's going. 7 So it's coming right here and coming up. If you can 8 open it. 9 MR. CRITTENDEN: Oh. 10 MS. STACIE HUGGINS: This is the old commercial 11 site. 12 UNIDENTIFIED MAN: Oh, okay. Yeah, we can show 13 14 this. Maybe. MR. CRITTENDEN: I think, it was already on. 15 Ι turned it off. I'm sorry. 16 MS. STACIE HUGGINS: Oh, okay. 17 COMMISSIONER SHABAZZ: Okay. 18 MR. CRITTENDEN: Oh, all right. So this is the 19 20 old preliminary plan for the layout of this. This would be the site we're talking about shown in commercial 21 development. The stormwater right now runs along there 2.2 and would tie into infrastructures on the east side of 23 the site, just off of this, in this area, so. 24 MS. STACIE HUGGINS: Actually, it's on the 25

south side. 1 2 UNIDENTIFIED MAN: It's on the south side. MR. CRITTENDEN: It's on the south side down 3 here? Okay. 4 MS. STACIE HUGGINS: Yeah, actually, it comes 5 like this. 6 7 MR. CRITTENDEN: Oh, okay. Sorry. Yeah, so that's what they would be working with 8 tying into, and what they'd have to show that they meet 9 the requirements and so forth prior to the final map. 10 COMMISSIONER SHABAZZ: So, since we're now 11 saying it's on the south side, would that be on the 12 13 border between the single-family homes and the multi-family? 14 15 MR. CRITTENDEN: Correct. COMMISSIONER SHABAZZ: The multi-family 16 development? 17 MR. CRITTENDEN: Correct. 18 COMMISSIONER SHABAZZ: So it would be. 19 20 COMMISSIONER READ: And --21 VICE CHAIR CAREY: Commissioner Read. Isn't there a drainage COMMISSIONER READ: 2.2 ditch back in that area that it flows into? Is that 23 where that is, up there towards --24 MR. CRITTENDEN: Yeah, on the east side. 25

COMMISSIONER READ: Oh, on the east side of the 1 2 ditch? MR. CRITTENDEN: Yeah. 3 COMMISSIONER READ: Does that connect to the 4 North Truckee Drain? 5 Okay. MS. STACIE HUGGINS: Do you want my map? 6 They're getting their 7 COMMISSIONER READ: stuff, so. 8 MR. MARTINI: Thank you, Mr. Vice Chair. 9 Again, John Martini, for the record. 10 I would point out to the Planning Commission 11 that this area was master-planned for all utilities way 12 13 back when my hair wasn't quite so gray as it is now. So to answer your question, Commissioner Read, 14 15 for the most part, stormwater from this area flows via pipe networks and open channels to the North Truckee 16 Drain, where it then drains to the Truckee River. And, 17 yes, it's the big ditch back there. 18 COMMISSIONER READ: That's what I thought. 19 20 VICE CHAIR CAREY: Okay. Any questions for 21 staff? We're good? That was a fun stormwater discussion. 2.2 All right. We'll bring this back to the 23 24 Commission. Or as the applicant representative, is there anything you'd like to add to this? 25

MS. STACIE HUGGINS: 1 No. All right. We'll bring this back to the 2 Commission for consideration and possible action. 3 COMMISSIONER READ: I'll make a motion. 4 VICE CHAIR CAREY: Go ahead, Commissioner Read. 5 COMMISSIONER READ: I'd move to forward to the 6 City Council a recommendation of approval of the 7 Tentative Map for Galleria Station Townhomes, associated 8 with PCN18-0039, adopting findings T1 through T12 and 9 the facts supporting these findings as set forth in the 10 staff report, and subject to the conditions of approval 11 1 through 15 as listed in the staff report. 12 13 VICE CHAIR CAREY: Thank you, Commissioner 14 Read. There's a motion on the table. Is there a 15 second? 16 COMMISSIONER BROCK: This is Commissioner 17 Brock. I second. 18 VICE CHAIR CAREY: Okay. we have a second by 19 20 Commissioner Brock. Any discussion or comments on the 21 motion? Okay. Hearing none, I will call the vote. 2.2 All those in favor of the motion, please say "aye." 23 (Commission members said "aye.") 24 VICE CHAIR CAREY: Opposed, "nay." 25

1 The ayes have it. The motion is carried. Thank you very much. 2 We'll now move into item 15. This is public 3 comment. This is our last public comment period of the 4 5 evening. If anyone would like to make a public comment 6 7 at this time, please come forward, state your name for the record. And you'll have three minutes. 8 Welcome back, Dorothy. 9 MS. DOROTHY WHITE: Yep. Again, Dorothy White, 10 11 a resident on Papaya Drive. I simply, and my neighbors, would want a 12 13 clarification. When we spoke earlier, we asked about the fencing behind us and between the proposed 14 townhouses. And what we understood was that there 15 wasn't going to be another fence, it was just going to 16 be our fence line and just trees and things planted back 17 What the good neighbor fences; who takes care of there. 18 what? 19 20 VICE CHAIR CAREY: Okay. 21 MS. DOROTHY WHITE: I mean we have our fences. We have our HOA. We know what belongs to us. So does 2.2 that mean that the back side of the fence is now the 23 responsibility of the townhouses? How do we address 24 this? 25